

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,922	08/31/2001	Joseph B. Fuller	29409/01	2250
7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Joseph T. Guy, Ph.D.			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Nexsen Pruet Jacobs & Pollard, LLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Drawer 10648 Greenville, SC 29603-0648			3671	
Greenvine, 50 27005-0040			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
/.		09/944,922	FULLER, JOSEPH	B.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alicia M Torres	3671				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
С	Claim(s) objected to:						
С	claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .						
С	claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. Th	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. No	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In regards to Applicant's arguments that the mower disclosed by Moore is meant for use only in a linear forward motion, it is Winslow who discloses the structure of the present invention for use as a lawnmower. Moore is used for alternative reasons. In regards to Applicant's arguments that a string trimmer is not the same as a mower, Winslow teaches that the operated tool may be used for tools *such as* a lawn mower. Applicant claims the Office has used hindsight reasoning in the rejection, however, the Winslow reference published 28 April 1936 discloses the structure of the instant invention even going as far to clearly disclose the use of the tool as a lawnmower.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant is mistaken that the finality of the prior Office Action should be withdrawn. Moore is newly cited art, but only in response to the amendments made. As stated in the prior Office Action, the amendments necessitated the new grounds of rejection, and accordingly, the Action is made Final.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory: A Preminor

Group 14.